

## FACULTY OF SOCIETY AND SCIENCE STUDY COURSE DESCRIPTION

Course Title:	Tourism Competitiveness						
Course code (LAIS):	The course will be registered after receiving the license						
Study programme:	Tourism Competitiveness Management						
Level of Study programme:	☐ 1st level professional higher education						
		Profession	nal Bachelor	•			
		Profession	nal Master				
	☐ Academic Master						
	□ PhD level						
	☐ Compulsory course (Part A)						
Type of Study programmer				ation courses (Part	B, compulsory)		
Type of Study programme:					ses (Part B, optional)		
		Elective c	ourses (Part		T.	П	
Common World and		Credits	ECTS	Academic	Contact hours	Independent	
Course Workload:		3	4	hours 100	32	work hours 68	
	And	 lris Klepers		100	32	08	
			ssor, Dr.geo	or			
Course Author/ Tutor:				<del>-</del>			
	e-mail: andris.klepers@va.lv  Consultation: according to the schedule for each semester						
Study Form:	Full time studies						
Study year, semester:		r 1, Semeste					
Language:		lish or Latv					
Language.	_			owladge of entrepr	anaurchin and aconomi	ics practical experier	
Prerequisites for the Course:	Bachelor degree, general knowledge of entrepreneurship and economics, practical experient						
	tourism  Globalization is having a major impact on the tourism sector. Competitiveness has been a						
			_	-	-		
	subject of study in the manufacturing and related sectors since the early 1990s. However,						
	only recently have some researchers started to examine the tourism and hospitality						
Course Summers	competitiveness, both conceptually and empirically, with a particular focus on tourism						
Course Summary:	destinations and the hotel industry. The goal of this course is to provide comprehensive						
	knowledge on external competitiveness forces (trends and threats – to adapt or influence						
	on national – network-type level) and internal competitiveness forces (to strengthen the						
	strengths and mitigate weaknesses). Special focus is paid to co-opetition strategies of using						
	strategic partnership with direct competitors.					vanass forces (team	
	Assessment 1. Project based on critical analysis of external competitiveness forces (team work): 20 %						
	Assessment 2. Project based on critical analysis of external competitiveness forces						
	(individually + peer involvement/assessment): 20%						
	Assessment 3. Summative assessment of tests and involvement during the course						
	(individually): 10%						
	Written final exam: 50%						
	In order to receive the final grade, all requirements (deliverables) must be met and assessed not less than 5 points. Failure to meet the submission deadlines will result in						
Assessment and requirements	assessed not less than 5 points. Failure to meet the submission deadlines will result in a downgrade.						
for Credits:	a do migrado.						
	If the student does not fulfill the conditions set for obtaining a positive overall						
	evaluation, the course must be retaken in its entirety the next time;						
	- Participation in the course will be evaluated in a 10-point system, taking into account						
	the following criteria:						
	excellent (10) – knowledge, skills and competence in matters of strategic and competitiveness management of tourism's exceed the requirements;						
	excellent (9) – knowledge, skills and competence in matters of strategic and						
	competitiveness management of tourism fully meet the requirements:						



	very good (8) – requirements are fully met, however, in certain issues of strategic and competitiveness management of tourism there is not a deep enough understanding to use knowledge independently in solving more complex problems; good (7) – in general, the requirements are met, however, sometimes there are not enough skills to use the acquired knowledge in tourism strategic and competitiveness management issues independently; almost good (6) – the requirements are met, but at the same time insufficiently deep understanding of certain aspects of strategic management of tourism and strengthening of competitiveness, as well as the restricted ability to use the acquired knowledge; average (5) – generally requirements are met, however, in several aspects of strategic and competitiveness management, understanding is limited, inability to understand problems and use acquired knowledge can be observed; almost mediocre (4) – in general the requirements have been met, yet there is a very limited understanding of certain conceptual issues of strategic management of tourism and strengthening of competitiveness, also significant difficulties in the practical use of the acquired knowledge; poor (3) – knowledge of course topics is superficial and incomplete, the student is unable to use them in specific situations; very poor (2) – there is superficial knowledge, very limited understanding of the basic issues of the course, most of the requirements are not met; extremely poor (1) – there is no understanding of the basic problems of the course and related issues, there is almost no knowledge of the topics covered in the course.		
Abiding by the Academic Ethics	Students must abide by the academic and research ethics, Vidzeme University of Applied Sciences Ethics Regulations, incl.:  — study papers must be independently developed;  — the study work should reference all statements, ideas and data used that have been authored by someone else;  — appropriate data acquisition methods should be used in the acquisition of data, the research ethics must be respected, empirical data must be collected independently and cannot be distorted or falsified;  — the examination must be carried out by the student independently, without the use of supporting materials and/or consultations with other students, unless the lecturer states otherwise.  In the event of non-compliance with the academic and research ethics, punishment is imposed in accordance with the ViA Ethics Regulations and the study course must be retaken, unless the punishment is exmatriculation.		
	Learning Outcomes	The evaluation methods and criteria	
	Knowledge		
	Knowledge of the underlying concepts and principles associated with competition.  Comprehensive knowledge of competitiveness and how to influence it	-	
	On external forces and cause relationships of influencing competitiveness  On internal forces, influencing	Case studies, team-work assessment (grade and extended criteria provided in assignment)  Case studies, individual work, peer-to—	
Learning Outcomes; the evaluation methods and criteria	competitiveness  Theory on co-opetition strategies theoretically	peer assessment (grade and extended criteria provided in assignment)	
cineria	and its application  Skills	Feedback on lecture discussions; team work, peer-to—peer assessment	
	Able to use the acquired theoretical foundations on professional level, with innovative approaches, discuss about it on various levels	Final exam, grade	
	High-level analytical skills strengthened  Able to perform with original research activities, formulate and describe problems and solutions regarding course concepts in a structured way or adapt it for their profession	Case studies, team-work assessment and individual work, peer-to—peer assessment (grade and extended criteria provided in assignment)	



	Competency				
	Ability to impact industry competitiveness				
	(internal - organisational level; external -	Final exam, grade			
	decisions or policy on national or international	i mai exam, grade			
	level)				
	Ability to cooperate in competitive				
	environment using rational arguments	Case studies, team-work assessment.			
	Ability to make decisions with in-depth	Feedback on lecture discussions & peer-			
	understanding of professional ethics and	to—peer assessment			
	sustainability aspects	•			
	Selected chapters in relation to assignments or r	reading for the discussion will be provided.			
	Brandenburger, A. M., & Nalebuff, B. J. (1996). Co-opetition: A revolutionary mindset				
	that combines competition and cooperation in the marketplace. Boston: Harvard				
	Business School Press.				
	Chim-Miki, A.F., Medina-Brito, P. & Batista-Canino, R.M. (2020). Integrated Management in Tourism: The Role of Coopetition, Tourism Planning & Development, 17:2, 127-146, DOI: 10.1080/21568316.2019.1574888				
Course Compulsory	Dupeyras, A., & MacCallum, N. (2013). Indi				
literature:	tourism: A guidance document. OECD Tourism Papers. New York: OECD Publishing. Porter, M. E. (1980). Competitive strategy: Techniques for analyzing industries and				
	competitors. New York, NY: Free Press.	fti N Vd- NV. E D			
	Porter, M. E. (1990). The competitive advantage of nations. New York, NY: Free Press.				
	Ritchie, J. R. B., & Crouch, G. I. (2003). The competitive destination, a sustainable tourism perspective. Wallingford: CABI.				
	WEF. (2019). The travel & tourism competitive	eness report 2019. Geneva:			
	Abreu-Novais, M., Ruhanen, L., & Arcodia, C. (2016). Destination competitiveness: What				
	we know, what we know but shouldn't and what we don't know but should. Current				
	Issues in Tourism, 19(6), 492–512.				
	Algieri, B., Aquino, A., & Succurro, M. (2018). International competitive advantages in				
	tourism: An eclectic view. Tourism Management Perspectives, 25, 41–52.				
	Andrades, L., & Dimanche, F. (2017). Destination competitiveness and tourism				
	development in Russia: Issues and challenges. Tourism Management, 62, 360–376.				
	Assaker, G., Hallak, R., Esposito Vinzi, V., & O'Connor, P. (2013). An empirical operationalization of countries' destination competitiveness using partial least squares				
	modeling. Journal of Travel Research, 53(1), 26–43.  Regheri M. Shoigei P. & Vigni M. (2016). Evalention on interpretive structural model.				
	Bagheri, M., Shojaei, P., & Kiani, M. (2016). Explanation an interpretive structural model of travel and tourism competitiveness indicators (Case study: Fars province). Tourism				
	Planning and Development, 5(18), 137–157. Bakker, M., Duim, R., Peters, K., & Klomp, J. (2020). Tourism and Inclusive Growth:				
	Evaluating a Diagnostic Framework, Tourism Planning & Development, DOI: 10.1080/21568316.2020.1850517				
Course additional literature:	Bengtsson, M., & Kock, S. (2000). "Coopetition" in business networks – to cooperate and				
Course additional necrature.	Compete simultaneously. Industrial Marketing Management, 29(5), 411-426. doi:				
	10.1016/S0019-8501(99)00067-X				
	Bengtsson, M., & Kock, S. (2014). Coopetition – Quo vadis? Past accomplishments and				
	future challenges. Industrial Marketing Management, 43(2), 180–188. doi:				
	10.1016/j.indmarman.2014.02.015 Bouncken, R. B., Clauß, T., & Fredrich, V. (2016). Product innovation through coopetition				
	in alliances: Singular or plural governance? Industrial Marketing Management, 53, 77–				
	90. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2015.11.011				
	Caber, M., Albayrak, T., & Matzler, K. (2012). Classification of the destination attributes				
	in the content of competitiveness (by revised importance-performance analysis).				
	Journal of Vacation Marketing, 18(1), 43–56.  Chim Miki, A. F., & Patista Canina, P. M. (2018). Development of a tourism acceptation.				
	Chim-Miki, A. F., & Batista-Canino, R. M. (2018). Development of a tourism coopetition model: A preliminary Delphi study. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management, 37, 78–88. doi: 10.1016/j.jhtm.2018.10.004				
	Cracolici, M. F., Nijkamp, P., & Rietveld, P. (2008). Assessment of tourism				
	competitiveness by analysing destination efficiency. Tourism Economics, 14(2), 325–342.				



- Croes, R., & Kubickova, M. (2013). From potential to ability to compete: Towards a performance-based tourism competitiveness index. Journal of Destination Marketing & Management, 2, 146–154.
- Crouch, G. I., & Ritchie, J. R. B. (1999). Tourism, competitiveness and societal prosperity. Journal of Business Research, 44(3), 137–152.
- Crouch, G. I. (2007, December 3–5). Measuring tourism competitiveness: Research, theory and the WEF Index. ANZMAC Annual Conference, Dunedin, New Zealand.
- Crouch, G. I. (2011). Destination competitiveness: An analysis of determinant attributes. Journal of Travel Research, 50(1), 27–45.
- Cvelbar, L. K., Dwyer, L., Koman, M., & Mihalič, T. (2015). Drivers of destination competitiveness in tourism. A global investigation. Journal of Travel Research. doi: 10.1177/0047287515617299
- Della Corte, V., & Sciarelli, M. (2012). Can coopetition be source of competitive advantage for strategic networks? Corporate Ownership y Control, 10(1), 363–379.
- Dias, J. G. (2017). Environmental sustainability measurement in the travel & tourism competitiveness index: An empirical analysis of its reliability. Ecological Indicators, 73, 589–596.
- Fong, V. H. I., Wong, I. A., & Hong, J. F. L. (2018). Developing institutional logics in the tourism industry through coopetition. Tourism Management, 66, 244–262. doi: 10.1016/j.tourman.2017.12.005
- Goffi, G. (2013). A model of tourism destination competitiveness: The case of the Italian destinations of excellence. Anuario Turismo y Sociedad, 14, 121–147.
- Goffi, G., & Cucculelli, M. (2014). Components of destination competitiveness. The case of small tourism destinations in Italy. International Journal of Tourism Policy, 5(4), 296–326.
- Goffi, G., & Cucculelli, M. (2019). Explaining tourism competitiveness in small and medium destinations: the Italian case, Current Issues in Tourism, 22:17, 2109-2139, DOI: 10.1080/13683500.2017.1421620
- Knollenberg, W., Duffy, L. N., Kline, C., & Kim, G. (2020). Creating Competitive Advantage for Food Tourism Destinations Through Food and Beverage Experiences, Tourism Planning & Development, DOI: 10.1080/21568316.2020.1798687
- Kylänen, M., & Rusko, R. (2011). Unintentional coopetition in the service industries: The case of pyhä-luosto tourism destination in the Finnish lapland. European Management Journal, 29(3), 193–205. doi: 10.1016/j.emj.2010.10.006
- Kylänen, M., & Mariani, M. M. (2012). Unpacking the temporal dimension of coopetition in tourism destinations: Evidence from Finnish and Italian theme parks. Anatolia, 23(1), 61–74. doi: 10.1080/13032917.2011.653632
- Nazmfar, H., Eshghei, A., Alavi, S., & Pourmoradian, S. (2019). Analysis of travel and tourism competitiveness index in middle-east countries, Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research, 24:6, 501-513, DOI: 10.1080/10941665.2019.1590428
- Pearce, D. (2014). Toward an integrative conceptual framework of destinations. Journal of Travel Research, 53(2), 141–153. doi: 10.1177/0047287513491334
- Pulido-Fernández, J. I., & Rodríguez-Díaz, B. (2016). Reinterpreting the world economic forum's global tourism competitiveness index. Tourism Management Perspectives, 20, 131–140
- Ritala, P., Golnam, A., & Wegmann, A. (2014). Coopetition-based business models: The case of amazon. com. Industrial Marketing Management, 43(2), 236–249. doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2013.11.005
- Traskevich, A. & Fontanari, M. (2021). Tourism Potentials in Post-COVID19: The Concept of Destination Resilience for Advanced Sustainable Management in Tourism, Tourism Planning & Development, DOI: 10.1080/21568316.2021.1894599
- Tsai, H., Song, H., & Wong, K.K.F. (2009). Tourism and hotel competitiveness research, Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing, 26, (5-6), 522-546, DOI: 10.1080/10548400903163079.
- Van der Zee, E., & Vanneste, D. (2015). Tourism networks unravelled; A review of the literature on networks in tourism management studies. Tourism Management Perspectives, 15, 46–56. doi: 10.1016/j.tmp.2015.03.006
- Volgger, M., & Pechlaner, H. (2015). Governing networks in tourism: What have we achieved, what is still to be done and learned? Tourism Review, 70(4), 298–312. doi: 10.1108/TR-04-2015-0013
- Ya-Ling Huang & Chin-Tsai Lin (2009). Constructing grey relation analysis model evaluation of tourism competitiveness, Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences, 30:6, 1129-1138, DOI: 10.1080/02522667.2009.10699931



	Zehrer, A., Smeral, E., & Hallmann, K. (2017). Destination competitiveness – comparison of subjective and objective indicators for winter sports areas. Journal Travel Research, 56(1), 55–66.		
Course confirmation date:	12.05.2021.		
Date of course description update:	-		

## **Study Course Plan:**

		Acade	mic hours	Study Form/ Organization of	
<b>Date</b> Theme		Contact Independent hours work hours		independent work of students and task description	
The date is specified before the implement ation of the course	In-depth on competition. Theoretical frameworks.	4	8	Introduction to the study course and basic terms with student involvement. Creation of teams and information regarding teamwork. Lecture. Seminar and participative discussion. Introduction to the additional reading.	
	Factors influencing the competitiveness of an national economy on domestic and international markets	4	8	Lecture. Seminar and participative discussion. Assignment Nr.1 explained.	
	Increasing competitiveness in export markets. Strategies & policies.	4	8	Lecture. Seminar and participative discussion. Team-work.	
	Competitive advantages of tourism entrepreneurship.	5	12	Assignment Nr.1 feedback. Lecture. Seminar and participative discussion.	
	Co-opetition strategies and strategic partnerships	4	8	Lecture. Seminar and participative discussion. Assignment Nr.2 explained.	
	Tourism clusters and collaboration networks.	6	12	Industry involvement. Lecture. Seminar and participative discussion.	
	Increasing business competitiveness: strategies and tactics of companies	4	12	Practical work. Case studies. Tutorial.	
	Micro-cluster approaches. Local destination competitiveness.	4	10	Assignment Nr.2 feedbacks. Industry involvement. Lecture. Seminar and participative discussion.	
	Exam (preparation)	1	6	Exam study materials explained. Self-assessment and training.	
	Hours total:	32	68		