

FACULTY OF SOCIETY AND SCIENCE

Course title:	ARGUMENTATION THEORY AND CRITICAL THINKING								
Course code (LAIS):	KomZ5015								
Study programme:	Media and Information Literacy								
Type of Study Program	\boxtimes	⊠ Master program							
	☑ Compulsory course (Part A)								
Type of Study course:	Professional specialization courses (Part B, compulsory)								
	 Professional specialization optional courses (Part B, optional) Elective courses (Part C) 								
	Academic Independent								
Course Workload:		Credits	ECTS	hours	Contact hours	work hours			
	2 3 80 24 56								
	Liene Ločmele, liene.locmele@va.lv								
Course Author/ Tutor:	Vineta Silkāne, vineta.silkane@va.lv								
Course Author/ Tutor:	Jānis Buholcs, janis.buholcs@va.lv								
	Consultation: according to the schedule for each semester								
Course Form:	Full time studies								
Study year, semester:	1., 1	1., 1.							
Language:	Latvian/ English								
Prerequisites for the Course:									
	The aim of the study course is to enable the choice, assessment, and preparation of the								
Course Summary:	information by applying relevant notions from the field of argumentation, critical								
	thinking and psychology.								
	Study activities: lectures, seminars, exam, etc.								
Course Methods, including		-			udies, case analysis, etc				
description of the	Within the course students individually do one case analysis of 5-7 pages; develop one								
organization of students'	article 3-5 pages long; engages in a dialogue about the topic of a position article for								
individual work and tasks:	about 2 hours, after which he/she writes a reflection on the experience of the dialogu								
	-	-			ual assignments togethe	er account for			
			tal amount of	f regular work.					
Assessment:		mination			1				
	 All the independent assignments should be submitted in a timely fashion. Assignments should be fulfilled in compliance to the methodological instructions and 								
				inned in compnane	e to the methodologica	i ilisti uctions and			
	the course description. Students must adhere to the general principles of academic ethics as well as ViA								
Requirements for Credits	Stat	ement of A	cademic Eth	ics. If this is violate	d, the course cannot be	e completed.			
and Criteria for Assessing the Course Results:	-Only after fulfilling all requirements, students are allowed to take the examination.								
Course Results:	- Students must adhere to the attendance requirements.								
	- In order to pass the course students must receive the positive evaluation ("pass") for two home works, the exam as well as have to attend at least 75% of face-to-face course								
	activities.								
					nissed, students must su	ubstitute absence			
	with	individua	lly assigned t	asks.					
	The course provides an overview about the main aspects of argumentation theory,								
	practice, and quality; scientific thinking, scepticism, critical thinking, and inductive								
Comme Comtembre	logics with a special focus on the issues associated with social media, propaganda, and								
Course Contents:	fake news. It also investigates cognitive processes responsible for judgement and								
	decision making and the role of dialogue in creating understanding among variety of								
	opinions.								
Learning Outcomes; the			earning Out	comes	The evaluation met	hods and criteria			
evaluation methods and		owledge							
criteria	The	understan	ding of the m	ain theoretical	Seminar discussions,	, home works,			



		· · · ·				
	tenets regarding an argumentation, and	examination				
	inductive logics, and a dialogue.	<u> </u>				
	The understanding of scientific thinking,	Seminar discussions, home works,				
	scepticism, and critical thinking	examination				
	The understanding of the content and quality	Seminar discussions, home works, examination				
	of argumentation					
	Skills					
	Ability to apply the gained knowledge to the					
	assessment and preparation of information on	Seminar discussions, home works,				
	ambiguous issues as well as to participate in	examination				
	dialogue with people who hold variety of					
	different opinions on them.					
	Ability to independently organize personal					
	and subordinates' further education on	Seminar discussions				
	actualities regarding argumentation and					
	critical thinking					
	Ability to make theoretically informed					
	decisions about the best communication	Home works, examination				
	practice in changing or ambiguous	fione works, examination				
	circumstances.					
	Competency					
	Ability to independently find, select, and analyse the quality information about	Sominar discussions home works				
	argumentation and critical thinking.	Seminar discussions, home works				
	Ability to critically assess the quality of					
	media and other types of information					
	relevant to everyday and professional	Seminar discussions, home works				
	contexts.					
	Ability to participate in the development of	Seminar discussions, home works				
	professional areas that deal with information					
	preparation and quality assessment.					
	propulation and quanty assessment.					
		nd fake news. Computer, 50(2), 80–85.				
	http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/ielx7/2/7842828/07842838.pdf?tp=&arnumber=7842 838&isnumber=7842828					
		he Debunking Handbook St. Lucia				
	2. Cook, J., Lewandowsky, S. (2011), The Debunking Handbook. St. Lucia, Australia: University of Queensland. November 5. ISBN 978-0-646-56812-6.					
	http://sks.to/debunk					
	3. Ganesh, S., Zoller, H. (2012). Dialogue, activism, and democratic social					
	schange. <i>Communication Theory</i> , Vol.22, pp. 66-91					
	4. Gergen, K. et.al. (2001). Toward a vocabulary of transformative dialogue. International Journal of Public Administration, Vol. 24, pp. 697-707					
Course Compulsory	5. Gilovich, T., Griffin, D., & Kahneman, D. (Eds.) (2002). Heuristics and					
literature:	Biases: the psychology of intuitive judgement. Cambridge: Cambridge					
	University Press					
	6. Kahneman, D., Slovic, P., & Tversky, A. (Eds.) (2017). Judgment Under					
	Uncertainty: heuristics and biases. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 7. Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (2000). Choices, Values, and Frames.					
	7. Kanneman, D., & Tversky, A. (2000). Choices, Values, and Frames. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press					
	8. Schwarz, N. et.al. (2016). Making the Truth Stick and the Myths Fade: Lessons					
	from Cognitive Psychology. <i>Behaviour Science and Policy</i> , in press					
	9. Orgnization of American States & UNDP (2013). Practical guide on					
	democratic dialogue. Guatemala: Sergrafica S.A.					
Course additional literature	1. Apsalons, E. (2011). Valodas lietojur					
Course additional literature:	2. Herman, E. S. & Chomsky, N. (1988). Manufacturing consent: The political economy of the mass media. New York: Pantheon Books.					
	economy of the mass media. New Yo	IK. I AHUICOII DOOKS.				



	 Kānemans, D. (2012). Domā ātri, domā lēnām. Rīga: Jumava Quinn, S. (2005). Debating. <u>http://www.learndebating.com/book/</u> Wineburg, S. & McGrew, S. (2017). Lateral reading: Reading less and learning more when evaluating digital information. <i>Stanford History Education Group Working Paper No. 2017-A1</i>. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3048994
Course confirmation date:	08.11.2017.
Date of course description	
update:	

Study Course Plan:

	Acader	nic hours	
Theme	Contact hours	Independent work hours	Study Form
Main concepts of argumentation and critical thinking	4	1	Lecture
Theory, practice, and quality of argumentation	4	5	Lecture, seminar
Thinking. Problem solving. Decision making.	2	5	Lecture, seminar
Heuristics and cognitive bias	2	5	Lecture, seminar
Social media and information quality	2	5	Lecture, seminar
Propaganda and fake news	2	5	Lecture, seminar
Dialogue	2	5	Workshop
Homework I	2	5	Workshop
Homework II	2	15	Seminar
Examination	2	5	Written examination
	24	56	